

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,906	10/18/2001	Francois-Xavier Nuttall	46030.00030	5561
7590 04/15/2004			EXAMINER	
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.			REAGAN, JAMES A	
Two Renaissance Square Suite 2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
40 North Central Avenue			3621	
Phoenix, AZ	35004-4498		DATE MAILED: 04/15/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summany	10/041,906	NUTTALL ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
· ·	James A. Reagan	3621 Y		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 M	1arch 2004.			
	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 3-87 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 3-87 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	wn from consideration. or election requirement. er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/041,906	Page 2
Art Unit: 3621	

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

- This action is in response to the amendment received on 09 March 2004 (paper #13).
- 2. Claims 3, 5, 25, 27, 47, 66, and 76 have been amended (paper #13).
- 3. Claims 86 and 87 have been added (paper #13).
- 4. Claims 3-87 have been examined.
- 5. The rejections of claims 3-85 have been updated to reflect the amended limitations.
- **6.** The rejection of claims 86 and 87 are 85 are original.

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

7. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/041,906	Page 3
Art Unit: 3621	

9. Claims 3-87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wiser et al. (US 6,385,596 B1) in view of Clawson (US 6,112,304 A), and further in view of Savar (US 4,727,243).

Claims 3, 4, 25, 26, and 47, 86, and 87:

Wiser discloses an online music distribution system, permits in the form of authorizations, tokens, and PKI, and delivering the digital file (column 4, lines 13-28). Wiser does not specifically disclose tuples, reports, or firewalls. Clawson, however, does disclose tuples (column 12, lines 50-54), generating reports (column 16, lines 31-41), and a standard firewall (column 18, lines 33-44). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Wiser with Clawson because distributed computing is me widely available at lower prices, and the most cost-effective approach to many problems may involve harnessing many connected processors together into one large system. Some computing problems, such as tracking retail sales and inventory, improve reliability, since the failure of a single processor in a distributed system will not necessarily bring all work on a given problem to a halt. See Clawson, column 1, lines 13-23.

The combination of Wiser/Clawson does not specifically disclose reconciling complete transactions from at least one of complete transactions from one of incomplete transactions and from events that indicate intentional interference. Savar, however, in at least column 26 (Claim #27) discloses complete and incomplete transactions, as well as reconciling said transactions. It

Application/Control Number: Page 4
10/041,906
Art Unit: 3621

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the secure online music distribution system and architecture of Wiser/Clawson with Savar's reconciliation of transactions because this provides a check and assurance that all transactions regarding the sale of digital works have been properly accounted for and recorded, reducing fraud, waste and abuse of the system.

Claims 5, 9, 10, 16, 23, 24, 27, 38, 45, 46 and 51, 60, 63, 64, 66 - 68, 72 - 74 and 76 - 80:

Applicants' step of conveying a permit from a source reads on the content manager conveying the media voucher in response to a request to purchase content (digital media), and Applicants' step for conveying a portion of a data product reads on the delivery server (second provided process) conveying the purchased content to the consumer and column 9, lines 56 - 67. Applicants' steps of conveying without conveying indicia of identification of the source reads on the discussion of claims 20 and 22, below.

Claims 6, 28 and 50:

Applicants' multiple subsystem facility reads on figure 1 B.

Claims 7, 29, 31, 32, 53 and 54:

See discussion of claims 20 and 22, below.

Claims 8, 30, 52 and 75:

Applicants' first subsystem reads on the content manager, and Applicants' second subsystem reads on the delivery server. While Wiser et al do not

•	
Application/Control Number:	Page 5
10/041,906	_
Art Unit: 3621	

specifically disclose the use of a private network for communication between the content manager and delivery server, it is considered that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Wiser et al to use a private network if, for instance, the various elements of the music distribution center were physically situated at one location.

Claims 11, 33 and 55:

The language of Applicants' claim 11 reads on columns 18 - 19, lines 66 - 67 and 1-10, respectively.

Claims 12, 13, 34, 35, 49, 56 and 57:

Applicants' second request reads on the voucher ID.

Claims 14, 15, 36, 37, 58 and 59:

Applicants' consumer subsystem reads on element 126.

Claims 17, 18, 39, 40, 61 and 62:

Wiser et al disclose both downloading and streaming the media data.

Claims 19, 21, 41 and 43:

Wiser et al disclose protected transfer; see column 6, lines 15 - 27.

Claims 20, 22, 42, 44, 48, 69 - 71 and 82 - 85:

Although Wiser et al do not specifically teach the use of anonymous transfer of data, Clawson discloses the use of firewalls as discussed above.

Application/Control Number: Page 6
10/041,906
Art Unit: 3621

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **James A. Reagan** whose telephone number is **(703) 306-9131**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **James Trammell** can be reached at (703) 305-9768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the **Receptionist** whose telephone number is **(703)** 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 305-7687

[Official communications; including

After Final communications labeled "Box AF"]

(703) 308-1396

[Informal/Draft

communications,

labeled

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"]

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

JAR

13 April 2004

Ja A. Rf